IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C 10-3461 CW

ORDER GRANTING IN PART MOTION TO

CONTINUE TRIAL (Docket No. 143)

YESENIA GUITRON; and JUDI KLOSEK,

Plaintiffs,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; WELLS FARGO & CO.; PAM RUBIO; and DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. moves to continue the trial date in this case from February 11, 2013 until July 15, 2013, or in the alternative, until April 1, 2013. Defendant contends that the continuance is necessary because one of its witnesses, its employee Hale Walker, is currently pregnant and is anticipated to be on leave from January 2013. Plaintiffs Yesenia Guitron and Judi Klosek oppose a long continuance, but do not oppose a shorter continuance until March 2012. Plaintiff Klosek has not stated that she is unavailable for trial during early April 2013.

Having considered the papers submitted by the parties, the Court GRANTS the motion in part. The five-day jury trial is CONTINUED to Tuesday, April 2, 2013 at 8:30 a.m. The final pretrial conference is CONTINUED to Wednesday, March 20, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.

Although the Court continues the trial, the Court notes that Defendant's proffered proof of Ms. Walker's unavailability is deficient. Defendant is primarily concerned that, by issuing a subpoena for Ms. Walker during the time she is on leave, it might

United States District Court

be liable for interference with her statutorily-protected family leave. However, the Court, not Defendant, will subpoen her. Further, Defendant has provided no evidence of the duration of leave that Ms. Walker intends to take, that it has discussed this issue with Ms. Walker or that it has tried to establish a leave schedule with her that would accommodate her testimony.

Defendant's evidence of its counsel's unavailability is also deficient. Defendant has stated that its "lead trial counsel currently is scheduled to be in trial in other actions in March, April, May and June." Mot. at 4. Defendant, however, has not provided any specific dates during which its counsel is scheduled to be in trial in those months, although Defendant has suggested setting trial in this matter for the week of April 1, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/7/2012

CLAUDIA WILKEN

United States District Judge